Effects of the Reynolds Number on the Efficiency and Stall Mechanisms in a Three-stage Axial Compressor
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ABSTRACT

The Reynolds number (Re) is an important parameter that can affect compressor performance. This study experimentally and numerically investigated the effect of Re variations on the efficiency and stall mechanisms for a three-stage axial flow compressor. In the experiment, the total pressure ratio, polytropic efficiency, and stalling mass flow rate were measured in a Re range varying from 1,100,000 to 55,000 to elucidate the Re effects. Unsteady three-dimensional numerical simulations were implemented to understand the stall mechanisms. The results indicate that the compressor efficiency and stall—pressure ratio begin to decrease remarkably as Re is reduced below a critical value, which is 220,000 in the case of the compressor studied. At a low Re, losses caused by the secondary flow near the hub and shroud increase remarkably, and the extended boundary layer separations at the blade suction surface further decrease the efficiency. The variation in Re changes the stall—initiated location. At higher Reynolds numbers, the interaction between the corner separation at the hub of stator 1 and the leakage flow through the blade tip gap induces a large vortex, which seriously blocks the blade passage. The blocking effect spreads to the aft stage and extends to higher spans, which results in the stall of the whole compressor. However, the blocking effect at the hub disappears at Re = 55,000, and the interaction of the blade boundary layer separation near the shroud of rotor 1 and the tip leakage vortex causes a large blockage and then induces stall. The Re variation changes the radial flow transportation because of the varying effect on the aerodynamic performance of each blade element at different spans. This significantly influences the extent of the vortex near the end wall and ultimately changes the stall mechanisms.

1. INTRODUCTION

Axial flow compressors should have high efficiency and sufficient stall margins to ensure the economical and safe operation of aero engines under any operating condition (Hathaway, 2007). In particular, the stall—limiting line in a compressor map cannot be exceeded during operation to avoid potential engine failure. The Reynolds number (Re) is an important parameter that considerably affects compressor performance. A Re based on the real chord and inlet velocity can decrease by one order of magnitude for military aircrafts when operating at high altitudes. In addition, the operating Re also changes when scaling a state-of-the-art compressor to different sizes in the design process to save valuable design resources and time. A decrease in Re may lead to high flow losses and change the stall—limiting line as Re decreases below a critical value. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms through which the Re affects compressor efficiency and stall mechanisms is critical.

Most related studies have focused on the effects of the Re on compressor efficiency. Weinberg & Wyzykowski (2000) tested the PW545 jet engine, which was originally designed to operate at a 13.7 km altitude. They reported that the overall engine efficiency decreased dramatically above 18.3 km because of the low air density at the high altitude. Therefore, the loss mechanism due to a low Re should be fully understood to improve engine performance.

A low Re can cause laminar boundary layer separation with no reattachment downstream, which results in a large recirculating flow with consequent
increased aerodynamic loss. Much effort has been made to determine the loss mechanisms of airfoil or blade cascades (Citavy & Norbury, 1977; Enomoto et al., 2000; Schreiber et al., 2002; Hobson et al., 2001; Hayashibara et al., 2006; Lazaro et al., 2017; Myose & Hayashibara, 2009; Back et al., 2010; Carullo et al., 2011; Bolinches-Gisbert et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). Citavy and Norbury (1977) observed a substantial effect of Re on the growth and bursting of a separation bubble and the consequent effects on the aerodynamic performance of the cascade. Wang et al. (2020) claimed that the laminar separation bubble mainly determined the loss generation process of a compressor airfoil. Lázaro et al. (2017) studied the profile loss in an intermediate pressure compressor aerofoil under design and off-design flow incidences. They found that the profile loss depended on the Re at close to nominal incidence, but the profile loss rapidly increased as the incidence increased and became independent of the Re. Bolinches-Gisbert et al. (2020) reported that the trend of losses with varying Re had two regimes, where the losses scaled with Re^{-1} or Re^{-1/2}. Kok et al. (2015) proposed a semiempirical method that could be used as an alternative method for determining the entropy generation rate in a low Re compressor cascade. Kato et al. (2011) described the important effect of the relative motion of a casing wall on the tip leakage flow and cascade performance at a low Re.

 Except for the loss in the blade profile, the loss in the end wall region is significant at a low Re. Pantelidis & Hall (2017) experimentally studied aerodynamic losses due to 3D effects in the end wall regions of a compact compressor operating at a low Re. They found high tip loss, a hub separation that grew at a low Re in the rotor, and high hub loss in the stator. Diehl et al. (2020) compared the Re effect and increased relative tip clearance resulting from manufacturing limitations on the efficiency of a small-scale compressor. They claimed that the Re dependent loss was clearance independent over the whole compressor operating range, and increasing the clearance had a much greater effect on the compressor performance. Ni et al. (2019) reported that the Re mainly affected the passage wake near the shroud and tip clearance flow in the impeller, which caused a loss associated with the boundary layer in the hub of the vaned diffuser in the centrifugal compressor stage. Kim et al. (2018) showed that compressor performance decreased slowly with decreasing Re but decreased significantly below the threshold of 200,000 because of the large separation in diffuser vanes. Below a critical Re, Zheng et al. (2013) reported that the interaction between the tip leakage flow and the separated boundary layer caused severe loss in a transonic compressor. Therefore, the exponent was commonly used in determining the relationship between efficiency degradation and Reynolds number (Wassell, 1968; Zhang, 2020). However, the proposed empirical correlation methods were usually proven to work well under design conditions, but they provided inaccurate calculations under off-design conditions.

Ever-improving understanding of the loss mechanisms due to Re effects has led to vast explorations of control methods for improving compressor efficiency at a low Re. Pym et al. (2019) explored the potential of integrated leading-edge tubercles to improve blade performance at Re values between 15,000 and 60,000. Their experimental results showed that the implementation of tubercles did not lead to a performance enhancement but could generate streamwise vortices at ultralow Reynolds numbers. Valdes et al. (2018) studied the influence of Re on compressor efficiency by changing working fluids and reported that the efficiency as a function of Re improved with increasing fluid density. Maffioli et al. (2015) explored the available design space for compact axial compressor blade sections by investigating the effect of thickness distributions and the pitch-to-chord ratio at a Re =50,000. High-turning compressor airfoils (Sonoda et al., 2003; Schreiber et al., 2004), Gurney flaps (Myose et al., 2006), solidity (Hayashibara et al., 2013), leading edge roughness (Im et al., 2013), and surface roughness (Back
et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2021) have also been investigated to reduce losses induced by a low Re.

The effect of Re on compressor stability is another important issue. Hadavandi et al. (2018) investigated the stalling behaviour, inception, and stall-cell flow field for two different Reynolds numbers. Hutchings & Hall (2020) experimentally investigated the effects of Re on the flow characteristics of a compact compressor both pre-stall and in-stall. They found that the blockage associated with hub corner separation and tip clearance caused stall, and the frequency of the stall cell decreased by 2.8% as the Re was reduced from 60,000 to 20,000. Chen et al. (2020) reported that surge flow was likely to increase or decrease when the compressor size was increased or decreased, respectively, for a turbocharger compressor; however, these authors did not explain the underlying reasons. Zhao et al. (2015) claimed that the complex flow near the blade tip was the key factor for the limited flow stability under a high Re and low Re, and the decrease in Re enhanced the surface boundary layer separation and radial transport of low-energy fluid. Chen et al. (2019) observed the phenomenon of rotating instability, which was controlled by the interaction of the tip leakage flow and incoming flow at a high Re, whereas at a low Re, the tip leakage flow became weak, and the radial flow from the hub to the tip induced by the suction surface flow separation was dominant in the tip region.

The above studies have confirmed the significant impact of Re on compressor efficiency and stability. However, the mechanism by which Re affects compressor efficiency, especially in multistage compressors, is not fully understood. A method for predicting the compressor efficiency caused by Re variations under off-design conditions also needs to be discussed. With respect to compressor stability, spikes and modal waves (McDougall et al., 1990; Camp & Day, 1998) are considered two typical types of stall inception. Changes in working conditions, including rotating speed (Wilke et al., 2005), tip clearance size (Matthias et al., 2017), and inflow conditions (Arshad et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018), can alter compressor stall characteristics. However, whether a decrease in Re can change stall characteristics has not been determined. Therefore, there is a strong motivation to study the mechanisms of the effect of Re on compressor efficiency and possible changes in stall mechanisms in a multistage environment.

In the present study, a three-stage axial flow compressor was explored experimentally and numerically under five Reynolds numbers ranging from 1,100,000 to 55,000. The influence of Re on compressor efficiency under design and off-design conditions was analysed, and empirical correlation methods were utilized to predict the Re effects. Then, a quantitative analysis of the near-stall flow fields was conducted to understand the stall mechanisms at different Reynolds numbers. Finally, the change in compressor stall mechanisms caused by Re variations was discussed.

2. TEST FACILITY AND INSTRUMENTATION

The experiment was conducted on a three-stage axial flow compressor. The compressor was powered by variable-speed induction motors, and it produced airflow in a wind tunnel, which was a closed-return, variable-density tunnel with a fixed-geometry, ventilated test section (Fig. 1). In the experiment, this compressor was utilized to study the effect of Re on compressor performance.

The axial compressor consisted of an inlet guide vane (IGV), rotor 1, stator 1, rotor 2, stator 2, rotor 3, and stator 3 with 52, 25, 44, 31, 48, 31, and 48 blades, respectively. Figure 2 shows a meridional view of the compressor. The maximum speed was 3600 rpm, and the compressor was tested at 2593 rpm in the experiment. The running tip clearance size of the rotors was 1.8 mm, and the tip clearance size between the stator tip and the hub was 1.5 mm. The IGV, stator 1, stator 2, and stator 3 had variable geometries, and the nominal setting angles were bound to be 11°, 24°, 26° and 26° for the test speed, respectively. The other compressor parameters are shown in Table 1.

In the experiment, the whole device was evacuated by using two vacuum pumps to realize continuous adjustment of the air density to adjust the inlet pressure of the axial compressor. Five kinds of inlet total pressure, 100, 50, 20, 10 and 5 kPa, which generated Reynolds numbers of 1,100,000, 550,000, 220,000, 110,000, and 55,000, were studied. The total temperature was kept at 288 K for different Reynolds numbers using a heat exchanger (Fig. 1). The total pressure ratio, efficiency, and mass flow rate of the compressor were measured under different inlet conditions. Total pressure and
temperature were measured using radial rakes (consisting of several probes). The radial rakes were installed approximately 2.1L (L is the distance from section 0 to section 7; Fig. 2) upstream of the first rotor to reduce the influence of the rakes on the inflow condition, and 2.3L downstream of the last stator to ensure that the outflow fully mixed. Two radial rakes, which were separated by 110° in the circumferential direction, were installed at each test section. Nine probes, including five total pressure probes and four total temperature probes, were used for the rake at the inlet, and six probes, including three total pressure probes and three total temperature probes, were utilized for the rake at the outlet. The mass flow rate through the compressor was measured using a calibrated orifice plate. Before data collection, the compressor was kept in stable operation for at least 30 min after the operating conditions were changed. The data measured in 10 s with a sampling frequency of 10 Hz were averaged for each operating point. The measuring accuracies were 0.2%, 0.5%, and 0.5% for the total pressure, temperature, and mass flow rate, respectively. References (Zhou et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017) provided more information about the tested facility and measurement method.

3. NUMERICAL MODEL

The commercial CFD software ANSYS CFX was used to study the effect of Re on compressor performance. A computational mesh with a hexahedral structure was generated using a turbogrid. The computational domain of the compressor is shown in Fig. 3. Multiple passages were modeled for all the blades with the periodical assumption that the flow was identical at the periodic boundary to reduce computational time. The blade numbers were not changed, and the passage numbers (shown in Fig. 3) of different blade rows were adjusted to make the circumferential coverage angle of each blade as close as possible. The numbers of blade passage used in each blade row are shown in Table 2. The inlet passage was extended upstream by approximately two times the chord length of S0, and the outlet passage was extended downstream by approximately two times the chord length of S3. The tip clearance of the rotors and the hub clearance of the stators were meshed with 25 points along the radial direction. The mesh was stretched towards all the solid boundaries to meet the resolution requirement of γ∗≤2. The grid numbers of each single-blade passage are shown in Table 2. The mesh used for the multiple passages contained approximately 12,980,000 grid elements.

The CFX platform was utilized to solve the fully three-dimensional steady RANS equations. The k-Omega model (Toyotaka et al., 2003; Farahani et al., 2012) was used to model turbulence. A second-order resolution scheme was employed to discretize the advection term. The total pressure and total temperature were varied according to the experiment at the inlet boundary to change the inflow Reynolds numbers. The mass flow rates at the outlet boundary were varied to obtain different operating points. Adiabatic and nonslip conditions were imposed on all the solid walls. Steady-state simulations were utilized to obtain a compressor performance map, and unsteady simulations were performed at key points to determine the flow mechanisms. The “mixed plane method” and “transient rotor stator” were used to model the interfaces between the rotating rotors and stationary stators in steady and unsteady simulations, respectively. The steady simulation results were set as the initial values of the unsteady simulations. The time step in unsteady simulations was set to 1/7779 s, corresponding to the time interval for rotor 1 to travel 2°. The convergence criterion was satisfied when the parameters, including the residuals, efficiency, and mass flow rate at the inlet, did not change during steady simulations, and the periodic fluctuations of the parameters were treated as the convergence criterion during unsteady simulations.

Grid independence studies were implemented using the multiple passages model. The change of compressor efficiency under the design point with grid element numbers is shown in Table 3. The mesh used herein was proven to produce grid-independent results when the

Table 1 Compressor parameters at the test speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass flow (kg/s)</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pressure ratio</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiabatic efficiency</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational speed (rpm)</td>
<td>2593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet tip relative Mach number</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hub/tip ratio of R1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tip gap of rotor and stator (mm)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet diameter (m)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Mesh information of the compressor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Blade numbers</th>
<th>Passage numbers</th>
<th>Element numbers of each blade row</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2396256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1708706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2340680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1801440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1697076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1233468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1803960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Grid independence verification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element numbers</th>
<th>η</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.48×10^7</td>
<td>89.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78×10^7</td>
<td>89.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.12×10^7</td>
<td>89.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30×10^7</td>
<td>89.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.58×10^7</td>
<td>89.57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 Compressor characteristics under different Reynolds numbers

(a) Total pressure ratio

(b) Polytropic efficiency

Fig. 5 Changes in compressor losses with Re under different operating conditions

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Effect on Compressor Efficiency

The inlet velocity triangle of R1 and the inlet Mach number are kept constant at the same corrected mass flow rate (marked with dashed lines, as shown in Fig. 4) under different Reynolds numbers. The compressor efficiency is markedly reduced due to the decrease in Re, and the degradation degree varies under different operating conditions. Figure 5 shows the increase in the compressor loss evaluated by \( \eta - \eta_{ref} \) due to the decrease in Re. The reference \( \eta_{ref} \) is set as the efficiency at the reference Re. Wassell (1968) surveyed data of twenty axial compressors and proposed an empirical approach for predicting the effect of Re on compressor efficiency under the design condition. The close relationship between the compressor efficiency and Re was verified with the assumption that \( 1 - \eta = n_1 (Re)^{-n} \). The parameter \( n_1 \) is determined by the shock loss, which is related to the Mach number and the relevant geometrical parameters. For the present compressor, the value of \( n_1 \) is fixed because the compressor operates under subsonic conditions (no shockwave loss), and the geometric parameters are kept constant. The parameter \( n_1 \) is calculated as 0.97 for the compressor to minimize the accuracy.
fitting standard deviation under different operating mass flow rates. The parameter $n_0$ which is shown in Fig. 5 (b), should vary with the operating conditions to predict the efficiency changes precisely. The correction equation is then defined as

$$\eta - \eta_{ref} = n_0 (Re)^{-n_1}, n_1 = 0.97$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The relationship between $n_0$ and the corrected mass flow rate is nonlinear, as shown in Fig. 5 (b). Therefore, the changes in compressor efficiency with $Re$ under off-design conditions are difficult to predict using the parameters under the design conditions.

Based on test data of several multi-stage compressors, Schaffler (1980) proposed another empirical approach to predict the decreasing efficiency with decreasing $Re$ and obtained good agreement with Wassell (1968) correlation. The method uses normalized changes in compressor efficiency and $Re$, which are defined as

$$\frac{1 - \eta}{1 - \eta_{ref}} = \left( \frac{Re}{Re_{ref}} \right)^{n_2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The fitting results and the independent variable $n_2$ are illustrated in Fig. 6. The fitting accuracy is not as good as that in Eq. (1), but the relationship between $n_2$ and the corrected mass flow rates is nearly linear. Therefore, the changes in compressor efficiency under off-design conditions can be predicted using the parameters under the design conditions by changing only $n_2$.

Figure 7 shows the relative changes of efficiency for each blade under different inlet Reynolds numbers compared with the reference to understand the reasons for the decline in compressor efficiency. The compressor operates under the design condition ($m \sqrt{T_0}/P_0 = 0.01448$) for different Reynolds numbers.

The rotor polytropic efficiency ($\eta$) is defined using the total pressure and total temperature at the inlet and outlet of each blade, and the stator efficiency is defined as the recovery coefficient ($\sigma$) of the total pressure. With decreasing $Re$, the penalty on the efficiency of each blade increases. Moreover, the influence on the efficiency of all the blades increases from the inlet to the outlet. This phenomenon implies that the factors that decrease the compressor efficiency are amplified step by step following the flow direction.

To determine the location that causes increased losses, the efficiency $\eta_m$ on the meridional plane is defined as

$$\eta_m = \left( \frac{P_f}{P_{in}} \right)^{\gamma - 1} \left( \frac{T_f}{T_0} \right)^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The total pressure and total temperature used are azimuthally averaged values. The efficiency $\eta_m$ represents the average flow loss for the air approaching downstream. The relative changes in efficiency
with decreasing \( Re \), which was also observed by Diehl et al. (2020). In the tip region, the domain of high loss is not changed, but the loss magnitude is markedly increased because of the effect of a low \( Re \) on the interactions among the annulus boundary layer, tip leakage flow, and blade boundary layer.

Consequently, the compressor peak efficiency is reduced by a decreasing \( Re \). When \( Re/Re_\text{ref} \) is less than 0.2, the decreasing trend in efficiency with \( Re \) sharpens. Compressor losses increase considerably due to extended boundary layer separations at the blade suction surface, and losses caused by the secondary flow near the hub and shroud increase dramatically at a low \( Re \). These two factors cause efficiency degradation at a low \( Re \). The empirical approaches proposed by Wassell (1968) and Schaffler (1980) can precisely predict changes in compressor efficiency under the design condition. However, the independent parameters in the correction equations should be adjusted in different ways to predict the efficiency variations under off-design conditions.

### 4.2 Influence on Stall Mechanisms

As shown in Fig. 4, a decrease in \( Re \) reduces the stall–pressure ratio. For the sake of clarity, the change in the stall–pressure ratio with decreasing \( Re \) is shown in Fig. 11. The experimental and numerical results show that the stall–pressure ratio begins to decline sharply when \( Re/Re_\text{ref} \) is lower than 0.2. An empirical approach for predicting the stall–pressure ratio proposed by Wassell (1968) is defined as

\[
\frac{\pi_\text{Stall} - \pi_{\text{Stall,ref}}}{\pi_{\text{Stall,ref}}} = -0.2 \left( \frac{Re}{Re_\text{ref}} \right)^{n_s}
\]

This empirical formula predicted well the change in the stall–pressure ratio with decreasing \( Re \) for twenty axial compressors. The parameter \( n_s \) is calculated as -0.76 for the three-stage compressor to minimize the fitting standard deviation. The correction equation can precisely predict the critical \( Re \), which is 220,000 for the compressor studied. A sharp decrease in the stall–pressure ratio below the critical \( Re \) implies dramatic changes in the flow fields, which may alter the stall mechanisms.

The stall mechanisms of the compressor under different inlet Reynolds numbers are examined and
discussed in detail. The “three-step method” proposed in Wang et al. (2020) is adopted to study the effects of $Re$ on compressor stall mechanisms. The first and second steps can be performed using only the results of steady simulations, which greatly reduces the amount of calculation effort.

The first step is to observe the pressure rise lines in the compressor maps. The compressor stalls before the compressor characteristics peak at all Reynolds numbers, which indicates that spike-stall inception through short-wavelength disturbances is likely to occur (Camp & Day, 1998).

Determining the stall-limiting location is a challenge in understanding stall mechanisms in a multistage environment. To determine the possible stall-limiting location, the second step involves using a two-dimensional method from the perspective of relative change. The method assumes that the flow under peak-efficiency condition is ideal and that the location where the flow deviates maximally from the ideal flow may trigger stall. Therefore, the relative changes in the relevant aerodynamic parameters between the near-stall condition and the peak-efficiency condition need to be calculated.

The mass flow, which is defined as the product of flow density and the axial component of absolute velocity, is azimuthally averaged in the meridional plane. The relative changes in mass flow between the near-stall condition and the peak-efficiency condition are calculated for the five Reynolds numbers and illustrated in Fig. 12. The negative values represent the decrease in mass flow resulting from throttling of the compressor. The domains of the blades are marked with dashed lines. For the compressor operating at $Re/Re_{ref} = 1$, the mass flow decreases greatly near the tips of R1 and S1, and the downwards trend is stopped at R2. A relatively larger area with decreased mass flow, which is initiated from the hub of S1 (labelled with an ellipse), can be found at lower spans. The domain of the decreased mass flow expands in the radial direction during the process of spreading downstream, and it finally reaches the passage outlet, which occupies nearly 50% of the span. Therefore, the region near the hub of S1, where the flow starts to deviate considerably from the ideal flow, is probably the stall-triggering location. A similar flow situation can be detected under the inlet conditions of $Re/Re_{ref} = 0.5$ and $Re/Re_{ref} = 0.2$.

The distribution of the delta mass flow begins to change when $Re/Re_{ref}$ reaches 0.1, and it is completely different for $Re/Re_{ref} = 0.05$ compared with that of $Re/Re_{ref} = 1$. The area of decreased mass flow from the peak-efficiency condition to the near-stall condition near the hub diminishes at $Re/Re_{ref} = 0.1$ and disappears completely at $Re/Re_{ref} = 0.05$. However, the domain of decreased mass flow near the shroud (labelled with an ellipse) enlarges and ultimately reaches the passage outlet, which occupies nearly 20% of the span. Therefore, the region near the tip of rotor1 can probably trigger stall under the inlet condition of $Re/Re_{ref} = 0.05$.

In the last step of the “three-step method” for understanding stall mechanisms, the flow fields in the possible stall-triggering region will be examined in detail using the results of unsteady simulations. Considering that the flow deterioration regions in the meridian plane are near the hub and the shroud, the instantaneous velocity fields along the 98% span and 5% span are illustrated in Fig. 13 and Fig. 14 for $Re/Re_{ref} = 1$ and $Re/Re_{ref} = 0.05$ under near-stall conditions at $t = 0$ Ts. $Ts$ is equal to the blade passing period of R1. The limiting streamlines on the suction surface of all the blades are presented in Fig. 15 to help understand the flow mechanisms.

For $Re/Re_{ref} = 1$, the boundary layer is separated at the rear part of the suction surface of R1. In the blade passage of S1, the flow starts to separate from the suction surface at the leading edge, and corner separation occurs near the tip of S1 according to the limiting streamlines. Smaller corner separations can be found at the tips of S2 and S3. However, the flow separation near the shroud is insignificant and does not remarkably reduce the mass flow near the tip region, as shown in Fig. 12 (a). This observation implies that the blade tip will not induce stall. When the compressor operates at $Re/Re_{ref} = 0.05$, the flow separation near the suction surface nearly blocks the whole blade passage at the tips of R1 and R2. Accordingly, the separation lines shown in Fig. 15 are pushed forward on the blade surfaces, especially at the blade tip region. This severe flow separation causes a decrease in mass flow, and this effect spreads to the aft stage, as shown in Fig. 12 (e), which ultimately triggers the stall of the compressor.

The flow characteristics along the 5% span are completely different from those at the 98% span for the two Reynolds numbers. The flow is severely separated at the suction surfaces of S1, R2, S2, R3, and S3 at $Re/Re_{ref} = 1$, and the corresponding large corner separations can be found by observing the limiting streamlines on the blade surface. The corner separation reduces the mass flow near the hub, and the influence is amplified when the flow travels from S1 to S3, as shown in Fig. 12 (a). This will probably trigger stall of the compressor. For $Re/Re_{ref} = 0.05$, the flow separation region is greatly reduced near the suction surface of S1 and R2 compared with that at $Re/Re_{ref} = 1$. Therefore, the mass flow near the hub does not decrease, as observed in Fig. 12 (e). The observed
Fig. 12 Relative changes in mass flow between the near-stall condition and peak-efficiency condition

Fig. 13 Instantaneous distributions of velocity along the 98% span under the near-stall condition at t = 0 Ts
The flow phenomena in the velocity fields are consistent with those proven in the second step, and these observations confirm that the bottom of S1 and the tip of R1 are stall-initiated regions for $Re/Re_{ref}=1$ and $Re/Re_{ref}=0.05$, respectively.

The flow in the blade passages of R1 and S1 are examined to understand the reasons for the flow separation introduced above. Figure 16 shows the distributions of the reversed flow regions along the 98% span and the tip leakage flow coloured with the relative velocity in R1 under the near-stall condition. The flow fields at different times, including $t=0$, 1.5 and 3 $Ts$, are illustrated to understand the unsteady characteristics. When the compressor operates at $Re/Re_{ref}=0.05$, the tip leakage vortex expands as it processes downstream and interacts with the boundary layer separation, forming a large stagnation zone in blade passage R1 I at $t=0$ $Ts$; moreover, two small stagnation zones can be observed in blade passage R1 II. As the rotor blade rotates to $t=1.5$ $Ts$, the sizes of the stagnation zones in different passages...
become nearly the same. The flow distributions in R1_II at \( t = 3 \) Ts are almost the same as those in R1_1 at \( t=0 \) Ts. Therefore, the large blockage in the blade passages propagates in the circumferential direction at a relatively lower velocity than the shaft speed, which will probably form a stall cell when throating the compressor deeper. Another observation is that the flow from the hub is transported to the shroud near the blade trailing edge. The stagnation zone in the blade passage becomes more severe when radial transportation occurs. When the compressor operates at \( \text{Re}/\text{Re}_{\text{ref}}=1 \), the radial transportation disappears. The passage blockage and flow unsteadiness are very limited.

Figure 17 demonstrates the instantaneous distributions of the vortex evaluated by the \( \lambda_2 \) criterion at the tip of S1 and the streamlines through the vortex core. The vortex is caused by the flow separation near the blade suction surface, which occupies approximately 10% of the span in the radial direction for \( \text{Re}/\text{Re}_{\text{ref}} = 1 \). The influence of the vortex disappears in the aft stage, as shown in Fig. 12 (a). When the compressor operates at \( \text{Re}/\text{Re}_{\text{ref}} = 0.05 \), the decrease in \( \text{Re} \) enlarges the vortex in the radial and circumferential directions. One reason is the flow deterioration in the blade passage of R1, which increases the attack angle of S1. The other reason is the low-velocity flow being transported from the hub along the blade surface (dashed arrow), which exacerbates the flow separation at the blade suction surface. The vortex occupies nearly 25% of the span in the radial direction and more than half the blade passage in the circumferential direction. The effect of the vortex does not disappear in the aft stage, but it causes flow separation at the suction surface of all the blades. Moreover, unsteady changes in the blockage similar to those for R1 in Fig. 16 are not detected in the stator by observing the flow fields at different times (not shown).

Figure 18 shows the instantaneous distributions of the vortex evaluated by the \( \lambda_2 \) criterion at the hub of S1 and the streamlines of leakage flow through the gap between the stator blade and the hub. The vortex is induced by the interactions between the flow separation near the blade suction surface and the leakage flow, which occupies approximately 15% of the span in the radial direction and nearly fills the whole blade passage in the circumferential direction at \( \text{Re}/\text{Re}_{\text{ref}}=1 \). As \( \text{Re}/\text{Re}_{\text{ref}} \) is reduced to 0.05, the domain of the vortex is greatly reduced, and the effect of the vortex disappears in the aft stage, as shown in Fig. 12 (e).

Consequently, the hub of S1 is the probable stall-initiated location when the compressor operates at high \( \text{Re} \), and the tip of R1 may induce stall when \( \text{Re} \) is very low. The interaction between the boundary layer separation and the leakage flow through the gaps between the blade and the end wall causes a large vortex, which blocks the blade passage. The blocking effect spreads to the aft stage along the hub at \( \text{Re}/\text{Re}_{\text{ref}}=1 \) and along the shroud at \( \text{Re}/\text{Re}_{\text{ref}}=0.05 \), which triggers the stall of the whole compressor.

The discussion above mainly concerns the factors that can induce stall at different Reynolds numbers. The formation and propagation of stall cells in spike-type stalls are not discussed because of limited computational resources for implementing full-annulus unsteady simulations. The mechanism of spike-type stall, which is induced by a tip leakage vortex, has been discussed extensively (Tan et al., 2010) and can help us understand the stall mechanism of the three-stage compressor at \( \text{Re}/\text{Re}_{\text{ref}}=0.05 \). However, the characteristics of stall induced by the vortex near the hub in the stator (\( \text{Re}/\text{Re}_{\text{ref}} =1 \)) in a multistage environment are poorly understood and should receive increased attention in future studies.

4.3 Mechanism of the Re Effect on the Flow Characteristics

To understand the reasons for the flow variations caused by changing the Reynolds number, Fig. 19 shows
a comparison of the azimuthally averaged axial component of the velocity and flow angle (angle between the velocity and the axial direction) in the meridional plane for \(Re/Re_{ref} = 1\) and \(Re/Re_{ref} = 0.05\) under the near-stall condition. A negative value represents a reduction caused by a decrease in \(Re\). The axial velocity at the inlet is nearly unchanged along the whole span, but it begins to change when the flow travels into R1. The axial velocity increases below the 80% span and decreases at the upper 20% span. The flow redistribution is amplified in the aft stage, especially in the regions near the shroud and the hub due to flow separation (Fig. 17 and Fig. 18). The flow angle near the blade leading edge (labelled with arrow) is reduced for all the rotor blades, especially in R1. However, the flow angle is improved at the rear part of the rotor blades because the separation lines are pushed forward, as shown in Fig. 15. The significant changes in the flow angle near the shroud and the hub are caused by the change in the flow separation (Fig. 17 and Fig. 18). Therefore, the flow is redistributed along the span, and the aerodynamics of the blades change with decreasing \(Re\).

Figure 20 shows the pressure coefficient \((C_p)\) along the chord of R1 along 98%, 50% and 5% spans under the near-stall condition. The difference in \(C_p\) between the pressure and suction sides, which represents the blade loading, is also calculated. The pressure distribution is remarkably changed near the leading edge by changing \(Re\), especially at the 98% span. The blade loading is reduced upstream by approximately 50%, 40%, and 30% of the axial chord length at 98%, 50%, and 5% spans, respectively. The extent of the depressed blade loading increases with increasing blade height. At the rear part of the blade, the blade loading is unchanged at the 98% span and improved at the 50% and 5% spans. This result is consistent with the changes in the flow angle shown in Fig. 19 (b).

The inlet Reynolds numbers at different blade heights differ, which changes the aerodynamic performance of each blade element at different spans to varying degrees. As a result, the radial balance in the blade passage changes, which results in radial transportation, as shown in Fig. 16 and Fig. 17. The radial transportation significantly influences the extent of the vortex caused by the interaction between the boundary-layer separation and the leakage flow. This phenomenon ultimately changes the stall-triggering location under different inlet Reynolds numbers. Therefore, the blade profile can be redesigned to make the radial balance constant under different Reynolds numbers for keeping the stall-initiated region unchanged to enhance the stability of the compressor conveniently. The other option is to adjust the angles of IGV and stators to change the radial balance, which will be studied in the future.
The main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

1. The experimental and numerical results show that the Re significantly influences compressor performance below a critical value of 220,000. The compressor efficiency is reduced by 9%, and the stall–pressure ratio is decreased by 1.95% when Re is changed from 1,100,000 to 55,000. Empirical approaches can predict the efficiency variations due to the decrease in Re under the design condition. However, the independent parameters should be altered to calculate the compressor efficiency under off-design conditions.

2. For the design condition, the decrease in Re increases the loss in the blade wake of the rotors and stators because of the extended boundary layer separations at the blade suction surface. Such a decrease also increases losses near the hub and shroud, which are caused by secondary flow. The latter plays an important role in efficiency degradation at a low Re.

3. The stall mechanisms are different at various inlet Reynolds numbers. The hub of stator 1, where the interaction between the corner separation and leakage flow through the stator blade hub gap causes a large vortex and passage blockage, induces stall at higher Reynolds numbers. However, the tip of rotor 1 triggers stall because of the interaction between the blade boundary layer separation and tip leakage flow at low Re.

4. The rotor blade loading distributions change with decreasing Re for the stall-initiated stage, and this effect varies for blade elements at different spans. As a result, the radial balance in the blade passage changes, which alters the radial flow transportation and then the vortex extent near the end wall. This phenomenon ultimately changes the stall mechanisms of the compressor at different Reynolds numbers. Therefore, both the shroud and hub should be considered when installing facilities such as casing treatment to enhance the stability of the compressor for a wide range of Reynolds numbers.
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